

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	١٥.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,034		08/20/2003	In-Duk Song	041993-5238	5327	
9629	7590	01/03/2006		EXAMINER		
		S & BOCKIUS LLI NIA AVENUE NW	KIM, RICHARD H			
		DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		Application No.					
		10/644,034	SONG, IN-DUK				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard H. Kim	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/644,034

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/31/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al.
 (US 2002/0163615 A1) in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 2002/0131003 A1) and Kondo et al.
 (US 5,737,051).

Referring to claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10, 11 and 13, Fujioka et al. discloses a device and method comprising first and second substrates (102, 101) having an array region and a sealant region along a periphery of the array region (103), wherein the second substrate includes gate line (4) and data lines (8) arranged horizontally and vertically to define a pixel region (202), a thin film transistor (10) adjacent each crossing of the gate and data line; and a gate pad (6) and a data pad (2) at an end of the gate and data lines; a sealant in the sealant region attaching the first and

Application/Control Number: 10/644,034

Art Unit: 2871

second substrate (103), wherein the sealant (103) is located over the gate and data pads; a metallic black matrix formed in a sealant region that extends into the array region of the first substrate (105); a color filter on the metallic black matrix extending into the array region from the sealant region (106-107); and a liquid crystal layer between the first and second substrates (110). However the reference does not disclose an organic layer on the color filter, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the metallic black matrix and the sealant.

Matusmoto et al. discloses a flattening film on the color filter, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the metallic black matrix and the sealant (204). Kondo et al. discloses organic flattening film on the color filter (col. 10, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an organic film on the color filter, wherein the organic layer is formed in the array region and the sealant region and is in direct contact with the metallic black matrix and the sealant since the "organic film can be used with ease as the orientation film simultaneously because there is no need to provide an inclination angle. Hence, it becomes possible to simplify the process and to decrease the cost" (col. 10, lines 55-65).

Referring to claims 2 and 9, Fujioka et al. discloses that the black matrix is made of Cr (paragraph 103).

Referring to claims 7 and 12, Fujioka et al. discloses a common electrode and a pixel electrode in the pixel region (Fig. 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,034

Art Unit: 2871

3. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka et al. and Kondo et al. in view of Song et la. (US 6,894,753 B2).

Fujioka et al. and Kondo et al. disclose the device previously recited, but fails to disclose that the black matrix extends over at least one thin film transistor in the array region.

Song et et al. discloses that the black matrix (700) extends over at least one thin film transistor in the array region.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the black matrix to extend over at least one TFT in the array region since one would be motivated to prevent light leakage between pixels.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to disclose that the sealant is located over the gate and data pad, Examiner submits that in Fujioka et al. sealant (403) is located on the bottom substrate, connected the bottom and top substrate. Data pads (2) and gate pads (6) furthermore are disposed below the insulating layer (409), which is below the sealant (403). Therefore, even though the sealant is not directly over the gate and data pads, the sealant still exists *over* the gate and data pads. "Over" is defined as "In or at a position above or higher than." Therefore, the sealant is over the data pads and gate pads.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,034 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H. Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2294. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit 2871

RHK

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER